

## **Ethical Policy of Define Research Journal**

Define Research Journal is for fostering the academic research for both the students and the faculty. The Journal is having the highest level of publication ethics to ensure the quality, reliability, and integrity of the scholarly literature. This document describes the ethical behavior of all parties involved in the publishing process: authors, reviewers, and editors.

### **1. Ethical Standards for Authors**

The authors should submit the papers with Originality and should free from copying. The authors should make sure that their work is completely original. If they have used other people's works and/or words, they have to be properly cited or quoted. Plagiarism of all sorts (including self-plagiarism or duplicate publication) is unacceptable and represents unethical publishing conduct.

Authorship must be restricted to those who have contributed significantly to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the study being reported. The contribution is mandatory for displaying the authorship. All other persons who have made substantial contributions, but who are not authors, must be recognized in the acknowledgement section. The affiliation of the authors should clearly indicate their affiliations at the time the research was carried out. The Authors may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review and should be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication. All authors are required to disclose any financial or other substantive conflicts of interest that could be interpreted to influence the outcome or interpretation of their manuscript and the financial support for the project should be acknowledged.

### **2. Ethical Requirements for Reviewers**

Reviewers must treat manuscripts received for review as confidential documents. They must not show the manuscript to, or discuss it with, others except as authorized by the editor. Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in a reviewer's own research without the express written consent of the

author. Reviews should be objective, personal criticism of the author is not called for. They should express their opinions clearly with supporting arguments. Reviewers should not review manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

### **3. Ethical Standards for Editors**

The Editor-in-Chief is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published and should not be published, based on the validity of the work in question, its importance to researchers and readers, the reviewers' comments, plagiarism and legal requirements. Manuscripts should be judged on their intellectual merit alone without regard to authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship or political philosophy. The editor and editorial staff are prohibited from disclosing any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, and the publisher.

### **4. Process for Handling Unethical Behavior**

This journal will take allegations of unethical behavior seriously. Plagiarism, data fabrication, or other acts of ethical misconduct will be investigated in depth. In the event of confirmed ethical misconduct, the journal reserves the right to impose sanctions. It includes immediate rejection of the manuscript. Prohibition of submitting subsequent manuscripts to the journal for a certain period of time.